28

TO THE HONORABLE FREDRICK E. CLEMENT, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND/OR THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT ("SIHD" and/or the "Debtor"), the debtor in the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Bankruptcy Case"), on the one hand, and Healthcare Conglomerate Associates, LLC ("HCCA") and Vi Healthcare Finance, Inc. ("ViHF") (hereinafter, HCCA and ViHF are collectively referred to as the "Movant," and the Debtor and the Movants are collectively referred to as the "Parties," and each a "Party"), on the other hand, hereby submit the within Stipulation Resolving the Amended Ex Parte Motion to Continue the Hearing Date on Motion to Disqualify Ashley M. McDow and Foley & Lardner LLP as Attorneys for the Debtor (the "Stipulation"). The Stipulation is made with reference to the following facts:

I. BACKGROUND

On January 4, 2016, SIHD commenced the instant Bankruptcy Case by filing a voluntary petition for relief (the "Petition") under chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). On or about July 12, 2016, the Court entered an *Order for Relief under Chapter 9* in the Bankruptcy Case.

On or about October 15, 2018, the Movant filed the *Motion to Disqualify Ashley M.*McDow and Foley & Lardner LLP as Attorneys for the Debtor (the "DQ Motion") [Dkt. No. 484]. By and through the DQ Motion, the Movant seeks an order of the Court disqualifying Ashley M. McDow ("Ms. McDow") and Foley & Lardner LLP ("Foley") as attorneys for the Debtor in the Bankruptcy Case.

On or about October 29, 2018, the Debtor served the Movant, Tulare Asset Management, LLC ("TAM"), Medflow, PC ("Medflow") and Ms. McDow's former firm, Baker Hostetler LLP ("Baker") with the Notice and the Amended Notice of Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Bankruptcy Case (or Adversary Proceeding) no later than November 10, 2018 (the "Notice").

On the same date, the Movant, TAM and Medflow informed the Debtor that they intended to, among other things, move to quash the subpoena appended to the Notice (the "Subpoena") (the "Motion to Quash"), because, among other things, the Movant, TAM and

Medflow contend that the Subpoena seeks attorney-client privilege information. Subsequently, the Parties met and conferred regarding potential briefing deadlines and hearing dates relating to not only the Motion to Quash but the DQ Motion (the "Briefing Schedule").

On or about November 1, 2018, in order to preserve its rights with respect to the DQ Motion, the Debtor filed the *Amended Ex Parte Motion to Continue the Hearing Date on Motion to Disqualify Ashley M. McDow and Foley & Lardner LLP as Attorneys for the Debtor* (the "Ex Parte Motion") [Dkt. No. 506]. By and through the *Ex Parte Motion*, the Debtor seeks to continue the hearing date on the DQ Motion from November 14, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. to December 19, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. so that it will have sufficient time to oppose the Motion to Quash, receive and review any and all documents received from Baker pursuant to the Subpoena, and file its opposition in response to the DQ Motion.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

- 1. Subject to Court approval, the Briefing Schedule and procedure with regards to the Motion to Quash and DQ Motion shall be as follows:
 - a. The deadline for Movant, TAM and Medflow to file the Motion to Quash shall be November 6, 2018;
 - b. The deadline for the Debtor to file an opposition to the Motion to Quash shall be November 16, 2018;
 - c. The deadline for Movant, TAM and Medflow to file a reply in support of the Motion to Quash shall be November 21, 2018;
 - d. The hearing on the Motion to Quash shall be held on November 29, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. before the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement (the "Court");
 - e. In the event the Motion to Quash is denied, Baker shall produce documents responsive to the Subpoena on or before December 3, 2018, designated attorney's eye's only and subject to any other conditions imposed by the Court.

1 f. Any documents produced by Baker in response to the Subpoena, which are to be 2 submitted by either Party in support of or in opposition to the DQ Motion, shall be 3 submitted under seal; 4 g. The deadline for Debtor to file an opposition to the DQ Motion shall be December 7, 5 2018; 6 h. The deadline for Movant to file a reply in support of the DQ Motion shall be 7 December 14, 2018; and 8 The continued date and time for the hearing on the DQ Motion shall be December 19, 9 2019 at 1:30 p.m. before the Court, or such other date and time as the Court deems 10 appropriate. Debtor will not, at any time, assert this further delay in the hearing date 11 on the DQ Motion as a basis for waiver of Movant's rights to seek disqualification of 12 Ms. McDow and Foley. 13 Execution. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, which together shall constitute 14 a single document. This Stipulation may be executed by electronic means. Any electronic 15 signature or facsimile or digital image of any signature appended hereto shall constitute an 16 original signature of the signing party. 17 Authority. The individuals executing this Stipulation on behalf of any of the Parties hereby 18 expressly warrants that he/she has the authority to execute this Stipulation and his/her 19 execution of this Stipulation shall bind the respective Party to the terms of this Stipulation. 20 Approval of Order. The Parties have reviewed and approve of the form and content of the 21 proposed order approving this Stipulation appended hereto as **Exhibit A**. 22 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

ASHLEY M. MCDOW AND FOLEY & LARDNER AS ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTOR

Case 16-10015

Doc₁508

Filed 11/02/18